

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,157	02/17/2004	Monji G. Jabori	200314182-1	1267
	7590 12/27/200 CKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			RIAD, AMINE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•		2113		
				·
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	12/27/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/780,157	JABORI, MONJI (3 .			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Amine Riad	2113	•			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	V IS SET TO EXPIRE	3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (3	O) DAYS			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 136(a). In no event, however, ma will apply and will expire SIX (6) e, cause the application to become	JNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this cone ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 C	october 2006.					
·—	, 					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	<u>:x рапе Quayle, 1935</u>	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 13,27 and 28 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-17,19,20 and 24</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-12,14,18,21-23,25,26,29 and 30 is/	are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	or election requirement		•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
ine oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attac	neg Office Action of form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)			. •			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Intervi	iew Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper	No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2113

Detailed Action

Claims 1-30 have been presented for examination.

Claims 13,27,28 have been cancelled.

Claims 1-12,14,18,21,22,23,25,26,29,30 have been rejected.

Claims 15,16,17,19,20,24 have been allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The language of the claims raise a question as to whether the claims are directed merely to an abstract idea that would not result in a practical application producing a concrete, useful, and tangible result to form the basis of statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101.

In summary, Claims 1-12 recite first **logic**, second **logic**, third **logic**, and fourth **logic**. Additionally, page 6 line 7 of the detailed description discloses, "Logic may also be fully embodied as software" The recited invention is computer software *per se*. A computer program is merely a set of instructions capable of being executed by a computer. The computer program itself is not a statutory process in that it does not include the computer-readable medium needed to realize the functionality of the computer program. Thus, as currently recited, Claims 1-12 are directed to an abstract idea that does not produce a concrete, useful and tangible result.

Art Unit: 2113

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 14,18,21,22,23,25,26,29,30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Garrett US Patent 6,018,809.

In regard to claims 23, 29

Garrett discloses a correlating debugger, comprising:

Engaging a hardware analyzer to analyze a piece of computer hardware;

Engaging a software analyzer to analyze a piece of computer software that will service (Examiner considers the data collected from the host computer as a combination of hardware data and software data because host computer 22 is a combination of hardware and software) interrupts for the piece of computer hardware; (Column 3; lines 30-31)

Binding the hardware analyzer and the software analyzer together, in order, based on time occurrence; (Column 6; lines 15-17)

Receiving an event from the hardware analyzer and the software analyzer together, in order, based on time of occurrence. (Column 6; lines 35-39)

In regard to claim 14, and 22

Garrett discloses a method, comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/780,157 Page 4

Art Unit: 2113

Establishing a relationship in a debugger between a hardware device and a
software component that w ill perform a software operation related to the
hardware device; (Figure 2; item 38 of figure 3 within trace engine 28) & (Column
3; lines 19-21) [Each trace engine clock is synchronized with the other trace
engine clocks so that all the data collected is synchronized]

- Configuring a software analyzer to collect a first data set related to the software component as the software component performs the software operation and to cause the first data set to be delivered to the debugger; [Examiner considers data collected from the SCSI as software data]
- Configuring a hardware analyzer to collect a second data set related to the
 hardware device as the hardware device performs a hardware operation and to
 cause the second data set to be delivered to the debugger; (Figure 2; item 38 of
 figure 3 within trace engine 28) [Examiner considers data collected from the
 SCSI as hardware data]
- Detecting a first event that signals a beginning of the software operation and controlling the software analyzer to begin delivering the first data set to the debugger; (Column 4;lines 40-44)[Examiner points out the interrupt is used for both the hardware and the software]
- Detecting a second event that signals a beginning of the hardware operation
 (Column 4;lines 40-44)[Examiner points out the interrupt is used for both the hardware and the software]

Art Unit: 2113

controlling the hardware analyzer to begin delivering the second data set to the
debugger; and selectively storing together, in order, elements of the first data set
and elements of the second data set, where the order is based, at least in part,
on the time at which an event associated with generating an element occurred.
 (Figure 2; item 38 of figure 3 within trace engine 28) & (Column 3; lines 21-23)

(Figure 2, Rem 50 of figure 5 within trace engine 20) & (Solution 5, mics 21 20)

In regard to claim 18,

Garrett discloses the method of claim 14, where configuring the hardware analyzer includes or more of, identifying one or more types of data available in the hardware device to be reported on by the hardware analyzer, and identifying one or more types of events from the hardware device to be reported on by the hardware analyzer.(Garrett discloses that data collected is commands, status, and message information in the background of the invention. Examiner considers this disclosure as an identification)

In regard to claim 21,

Garrett discloses the method of claim 14, where selectively storing together elements of the first data set and elements of the second data set includes selectively adding an element of the second data set to the first data set. (Figure 2; item 38 of figure 3 within trace engine 28) & (Column 3; lines 21-23) [Since the system contains many trace engines it is inherent to add second data to the already stored first data]

In regard to claim 25,

Art Unit: 2113

Garret discloses the method of claim 23, where binding the hardware analyzer to the software analyzer includes establishing a logic connection in a correlating debugger configured to receive data from the hardware analyzer and the software analyzer.(Column 3;lines 19-20)[Synchronizing each trace engine's clock necessities a logic connection]

In regard to claim 26,

Garrett discloses the method of claim 23, where binding the hardware analyzer to the software analyzer includes establishing a physical connection at a correlating debugger configured to receive data from the hardware analyzer and the software analyzer.

(Column 3; lines 22-23) [All trace engines send data to be stored, and finally read by the debugger CPU here]

In regard to claim 30,

Garrett discloses a first logic configures to receive a hardware related debug data from a hardware analyzer (Figure 2; items 20 and 28 [Examiner considers item 28 as a logic connected to item 20]); a second logic configured to receive a software related debug data from a software analyzer (Figure 2; items 20 and 28 [Examiner considers item 28 as a logic connected to item 20]); means for establishing a relationship between the hardware analyzer and the software analyzer to facilitate correlating data received by the first logic and data received by the second logic into a time-ordered set of data. (Column 6; lines 25-29)

Art Unit: 2113

Response to Applicant's Argument

Applicant arguments filed on September 1, 2006 have been fully considered, and are not persuasive in view of claims 14,18, and 23.

In regard to the argument concerning claim 14 and 23 which states "while both hardware data and software data my be collected of SCSI bus, this data is not coming from either a hardware analyzer or a software analyzer. The data is coming from either host computer 22 or storage subsystem 24, neither of which is performing a hardware analyzing or a software analyzing function. For at least this reason, claim 14 is not anticipated" Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner refers Applicant to Figure 2 where the Examiner considers item 28 as an analyzer, which delivers data to item 20 considered as a debugger. Consequently, the argument is not valid.

In regard to the second part of the argument which states, "The claimed element of configuring the hardware analyzer and the software analyzer is not present" Examiner respectfully disagrees. The configuration of the software analyzer and hardware analyzer is inherent because both analyzers are already collecting data.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2113

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amine Riad whose telephone number is 571-272-8185. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on 571-272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AR Amine Riad Patent Examiner 12/13/2006



Art Unit: 2113

Page 9

D. AMeansoloff